**Title:** Stylistic Development in the Fusing Tunes of William Billings

**Author(s):** Maxine Fawcett-Yeske


*Visions of Research in Music Education* is a fully refereed critical journal appearing exclusively on the Internet. Its publication is offered as a public service to the profession by the New Jersey Music Educators Association, the state affiliate of MENC: The National Association for Music Education. The publication of VRME is made possible through the facilities of Westminster Choir College of Rider University Princeton, New Jersey. Frank Abrahams is the senior editor. Jason D. Vodicka is editor of the Quarterly historical reprint series. Chad Keilman is the production coordinator. *The Quarterly Journal of Music Teaching and Learning* is reprinted with permission of Richard Colwell, who was senior consulting editor of the original series.
Stylistic Development In
The Fuging Tunes Of
William Billings

By Maxine Fawcett-Yeske
University of Colorado at Boulder

William Billings (1746-1800) was
America's foremost composer of
psalmody in the eighteenth cen-
tury. Included in his output of over three
hundred compositions is a total of forty-four
fuging tunes, strophic choral compositions
distinguished by the presence of textual
overlap, which is often achieved through
successive vocal entries. While occasionally
sung as part of the church service, the fuging
tune grew in popularity as psalm singing be-
came increasingly independent of worship.
Singing schools, informal gatherings for rec-
reational singing, and musical societies were
the settings for which this genre apparently
was intended. Billings, an active singing-
master in Boston and the surrounding area,
likely composed many of his fuging tunes for
practical use in his singing schools.

Billings was not, as scholars of the 1930s
suggested, the "originator" of the fuging
tune. Later investigation accurately has
placed the emergence of the genre in En-
gland. Still, Billings was unmistakably its
outspoken champion. He wrote, "It is an
old maxim, and I think a very just one, that
variety is always pleasing, and... there is
more variety in one piece of fuging music,
than in twenty pieces of plainsong. It has
more power than the old slow tunes, each
part straining for mastery and victory." His
tunes are testimony to his affinity for the
form. The fuging tunes of William Billings
show more originality and ingenuity than
those of perhaps any other American
psalmist, and stand at the forefront of the
genre's emergence on American soil. Drawn
from all but one of his six published collections, listed in Table 1, the Billings fuging
tune repertory exhibits a remarkable devel-
opment in the composer's command of for-
mal, contrapuntal, and textual-dramatic con-
siderations.

Stylistic development in a composer's work
is often analyzed in a chronological context,
but chronology is problematic in a survey of
Billings's works. As he compiled his tunes
for publication, Billings often would include
older works along with newer ones. The
fact that a particular tune is found in a later
publication does not necessarily indicate that
it was one of the composer's later works, so
chronological reconstruction becomes highly
speculative. Examination of the Billings
fuging tunes within the context of the six col-
lections, however, allows us to extrapolate
general tendencies in the development of the
composer's style.

The New-England Psalm-Singer
(1770)

With the publication of his New-England
Psalm-Singer, Billings became the first Ameri-
can composer to release a tunebook com-
prised entirely of his own works. The three
fuging tunes in this collection show a great
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Table 1. The Billings Tunebooks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tunebook</th>
<th># of Billings fuging tunes receiving initial printing in this publication*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The New-England Psalm-Singer [NEPS] (1770)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Singing Master’s Assistant [SMA] (1778)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music in Miniature* [MM] (1779)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Psalm Singer’s Amusement [PSA] (1781)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Suffolk Harmony [SHA] (1786)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Continental Harmony [CH] (1794)</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* An additional fuging tune, LANESBOROUGH (later called NORTHBOROUGH), was first printed in John Stickney’s The Gentleman and Lady’s Musical Companion (Newburyport: Daniel Bayley, 1774). Two others, THE BIRD and CRUCIFIXION, appeared in independent publications.
** A tune supplement designed to be bound in a hymnal or psalter.

deal of uniformity and exhibit the strong influence of English parish composers, especially William Tans’ur. Probably the most striking resemblance to Tans’ur’s fuging tunes is the formal structure — the fuging chorus design. In this bipartite structure, the entire psalm is stated homophonically in an initial A section, which usually ends with a cadence on the tonic, or sometimes on the dominant. It is then followed by B, the chorus, in which text overlap occurs, often the result of two or more voices entering successively. Typically, the fuging chorus is repeated. Since the chorus was appended at the end of the psalm tune proper, it either could be included or omitted.

The contrapuntal style of early American psalmody is grounded not in the principle of counterpoint with an underlying connection by harmonic progressions, but in the more basic principle of consonant contrapuntal motion, a practice which can be traced to the church polyphony of the Renaissance. The style was assimilated by American tunesmiths largely through the writings of, again, William Tans’ur. First attempts were somewhat awkward. The polyphonic choruses of Billings’s early fuging tunes are quite brief; in fact, one source characterizes them as being composed with “all the abandon of a bather inching his way into fifty-degree water.” Example 1 demonstrates, once the fourth part has entered in the tune TAUNTON, the piece arrives at the final cadence with all possible dispatch.

Likewise, contrapuntal technique itself is rather reticent. Strict imitation of the head motive is observed among all four voices in TAUNTON. Voices enter at consistent intervals of two measures and at the same pitch level or at octaves with one another.

While Billings’s text choices for these early fuging tunes are varied and imaginative, (with the text for EUROPE, “Let Whig and Tory all subside,”— probably of his own pen) the application of text to music challenges the composer at this point in his development. Misalignment of metrical accent between music and text appears frequently.

Yet, even at this early stage, the composer attempted to fashion the music to the meaning of the text. Like other psalmists of his time, Billings considered major keys to be “cheerful” and minor keys “melancholy,” and thus casts MILTON and EUROPE, with texts on “noble” and “blessed” themes, in the major mode, while TAUNTON, expressing the “longing of the soul,” is set in minor.

From these few examples we can see that, at the outset of his career, Billings possessed a willingness to try his inquisitive yet inexperienced hand at fuging music, which he mod-
Example 1. TAUNTON (NEPS), fuging chorus.

Example 2. LANESBOROUGH.

eled after an English prototype. Brevity, rigidity in construction, and conservatism characterize the fuging tunes from this, Billings's earliest published effort.

A Billings fuging tune published in the interim between his first and second collections, in John Stickney's *The Gentleman and Lady's Musical Companion* (Newburyport, 1774), provides some insights into the next stage of the composer's development. Entitled LANESBOROUGH in Stickney's publication, the piece was published, with minor revisions, by Billings himself in a later collection under the name of NORTHBOROUGH.

The treatment of the fuging voices is still quite rigorous in LANESBOROUGH. What is new is the protraction of the polyphonic section. Through the use of phrase repetition, the fuge is extended to three times the length of the initial homophonic portion. While the composer struggles to maintain independence of voices in this prolonged section, with voices often proceeding simply in parallel thirds (see Example 2, measures 17 through 20 in the bass and tenor), the fuge at this juncture has become the prominent section of the piece.

The Singing Master's Assistant (1778)

Released in four editions, *The Singing Master's Assistant* was by far Billings's most popular and widely-circulated tunebook. A landmark publication that marked the end of
a four-year hiatus in the printing of sacred music during war time, the tunebook was the second American collection of its type, that is, featuring entirely the works of one composer (in this case, the same composer, Billings). With experience, Billings exercised much more freedom in his musical choices.

Formally, the fuging chorus design still predominates in these fuging tunes. Billings, in fact, used the fuging chorus format throughout his career, and it subsequently was adopted by two of his students, Supply Belcher and Jacob French. A few of Billings's tunes in The Singing Master's Assistant, however, represent the composer's attempt to incorporate the fuging section into the initial statement of the psalm. WASHINGTON, Example 3, is one of these integrated fuging tunes. The first two lines of the stanza are set homophonically, while the last two are set as the fuge. In this integrated structure, the section containing the verbal conflict is no longer "optional," it is an integral part of the statement of the psalm. Further, not only did Billings make the fuge a part of the psalm proper, but he has protracted it to the point that the fuge itself is 17 measures total and therefore comprises the bulk of the tune.

WASHINGTON also displays more flexibility in the counterpoint. The interval of time and pitch varies between entries, and imitation is less strict. While the tenor voice still maintains the principal role, each of the
Other voices assumes a character of its own. An interesting technique that Billings explores in the tune entitled BENEVOLENCE, also from this collection, involves a different method of initiating the fuging section. As Example 4 illustrates, BENEVOLENCE does not have the usual abrupt halt before the onset of the textual overlap. Instead, the end of the initial psalm verse, at “give him rest,” beginning at measure 13, is staggered among the voices, resulting in verbal conflict. Subsequent repetitions of that line of the verse, “The Lord shall give him rest,” which involve successive vocal entries, are clouded by this elision with the previous phrase. While offering a smooth musical transition, this technique suggests a certain willingness on the part of Billings to “bend” the form of the scriptural text, to meet the needs of the composition — a practice which he followed increasingly in his later works.

Musical illustration in the fuging tunes from this collection remains conservative. Special emphasis is applied to words such as “exalted,” “glory,” and “praise” through the use of melismas and dotted rhythms, and germinal onomatopoeic connections such as repeated notes on the word “pants” in the line “So pants the hunted hart” begin to appear.11

These brief examples are just a sampling of the ingenuity, growth and experimentation found in The Singing Master’s Assistant. Billings obviously had polished his skills, which no doubt contributed to the overwhelming success of his second tunebook.

Music in Miniature (1779)

Music in Miniature is a tune supplement providing textless tunes to which any number of verses could be sung. Intended for congregational singing, this book contains no fuging tunes. Billings does, however, demonstrate quite clearly the optional nature of a fuging chorus. In Music in Miniature, he published five tunes from his previous tunebooks, minus their fuges, along with several tunes to which fuges are added in later publications.

For most of the 1770s, Billings cornered the market on American fuging tunes. With the exception of Amos Bull’s PSALM 122 (published anonymously in 1774 in
Example 5. FRAMINGHAM (PSA).

The Psalm-Singer's Amusement (1781)

Much as its title suggests, The Psalm-Singer's Amusement is designed for the "amusement" of accomplished singers. Billings is forthright in explaining: these tunes are "not designed for learners." The fuging tunes included in this collection exhibit increasing complexity of formal structure. One of the tunes, ASSURANCE, begins with the fuge, eliminating the clarity of the initial statement of the text altogether. The recreational, non-liturgical, nature of these works therefore could not be more apparent.

The fuge in FRAMINGHAM, a portion of which is included in Example 5, provides an excellent illustration of the facility now characteristic of Billings's contrapuntal writing. Each line in the chorus displays an individual contour and greater lyricism. The counter's line is especially noteworthy. Deviating from the head motive that the other three voices assume at the outset of the fuge, the counter, at its entrance in measure 18, possesses an animated alternation between paired eighth-notes and quarter-notes, the character of which the other voices do not acquire until later in their musical discourse.

Musical illustration of the text is more prevalent at this stage and is achieved in a variety of imaginative ways. Ascending lines and high pitches characterize settings of texts such as "Now shall my head be lifted high."

Stickney's The Gentleman and Lady's Musical Companion, it was not until 1778 (almost a full decade after Billings first published) that the works of other American tunesmiths, Gillet, Hibbard, Benjamin West, Deaolph, Wood, Strong, and Bull appeared in print in Andrew Law's Select Harmony. The greatest difference between theirs and Billings's fuging tunes is contrapuntal technique. Billings, through the use of imitation, melismatic elaboration, and motivic continuity sometimes maintained counterpoint for well over 10, sometimes up to 22, measures. The fuges of his contemporaries, on the other hand, averaged slightly over six measures, and relied primarily upon imitation of a brief head motive; once it was carried out, the fuge simply ended.

The greatest difference between theirs and Billings's fuging tunes is contrapuntal technique. Billings, through the use of imitation, melismatic elaboration, and motivic continuity sometimes maintained counterpoint for well over 10, sometimes up to 22, measures. The fuges of his contemporaries, on the other hand, averaged slightly over six measures, and relied primarily upon imitation of a brief head motive; once it was carried out, the fuge simply ended.

Musical illustration of the text is more prevalent at this stage and is achieved in a variety of imaginative ways. Ascending lines and high pitches characterize settings of texts such as "Now shall my head be lifted high."
References to God receive special emphasis through florid passages and dotted rhythms. Texts that are especially joyful in nature are now set to dance-like meters such as 6/4. In addition, timbre emerges as another element of musical depiction. For example, Billings sets a text concerning dancing and the playing of timbrels (activities typically associated with women in the Bible) as a solo for treble voices.

Along with illustrative devices, Billings was apparently fascinated by declamatory effects, which he exploited for dramatic results. An imaginative technique adopted in WAREHAM, shown in Example 6, momentarily suspends declamation through word repetition. The “All in All” gesture, which formerly had been pervasive in the texture, is abruptly fragmented. Flanked by rests from measures 31 through 35, the word “All” is isolated and reiterated three times. Momentum seems to come to a standstill, as the word is heralded outside the context of its poetic line and free of its previous motivic association.

In the license he took with texts, like those observed in WAREHAM, Billings was clearly at the forefront of American psalmody. None of the British fuging tunes that had been published in America involved such techniques, nor did the works of any of Billings’s American contemporaries. Billings’s influence in this respect would later be apparent, however, in fuging tunes such as Daniel Read’s BARRINGTON, from The American Singing Book (New Haven, 1785), where word repetition for “effect” takes precedence over maintaining the integrity of the poetic line.
Example 7. BREST (MM) and BRATTLE STREET (SH).***

Example 7. BREST (MM) and BRATTLE STREET (SH).***

In *The Psalm-Singer's Amusement*, Billings no doubt was amused in his efforts. Confident in his command of the musical language, Billings took liberties with form and text which he previously had not attempted to such a degree — a degree to which few of his contemporaries even aspired.

**The Suffolk Harmony (1786)**

In *The Suffolk Harmony*, Billings exhibits a distinct change in compositional approach. Each of the fuging tunes in this collection had appeared in some form in previous tunebooks. What Billings recognized was that some of his earlier melodies had not outlived their potential. "Newness," in terms of the fuging tunes, here meant variation.20

The tune BRATTLE STREET illustrates a two-fold variation on BREST, which was originally published as a plain tune in *Music in Miniature*. Billings composed both an ornamental and a compositional variant, the results of which we find in *The Suffolk Harmony*. Karl Kroeger has examined the relationship between the principal melodies of these two pieces.21 As Example 7 illustrates, the ornamental variant involves the addition of note-groups and a change in the mood of time. Kroeger states, "The ornamental note-groups applied to the melody of BREST to transform it into BRATTLE STREET completely change its character from a slow, chorale-like melody to one with a feeling of lilt and graceful flow."22

To this ornamental variant, Billings added a fuging chorus. Not only did he change the fundamental nature of the tune, but he altered the overall form of the piece as well. By re-working in such a way, Billings refined and strengthened his compositions. With this new focus, moreover, he broadened his artistic perspective.

**The Continental Harmony (1794)**

In his sixth and final tunebook, *The Continental Harmony*, Billings compiled an anthology, representing various stages of development and revision of his work.23 Formally, a number of different structures are present among the fuging tunes. Fuging choruses, integrated fuging tunes, and fuging sections incorporated into other more complex genres are all found, indicating that Billings probably did not, at any time, limit...
Example 8. EGYPT (CH), the fuge.

In Moses' hand he puts his rod, and cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.

And cleaves the fright ed seas, and cleaves the fright ed seas.
himself to one standard form but thrived, as always, on variety.

Contrapuntally, voices maintain the lyricism, contour, and melodic sweep which appeared gradually throughout the middle Billings collections. In addition, each of the voices assumes greater independence and character.

Relationship between text and music remains strong in *The Continental Harmony*. Sensitivity to prosody is expert, and imagery and word painting are found in abundance. In the tune EGYPT, Example 8, we find that Billings has melded his fascination with word painting and momentum. In the fuge, the force of the declamation builds and ebbs as the rhythmic character of a simple motive is cleverly manipulated. From a subject comprised primarily of quarter- and half-notes, emerges, in the tenor at measure 15, an animated gesture made up of dotted-eighths and sixteenths, fostering a forceful, agitated declamation in which each of the voices becomes involved. As the fuge progresses, the animation subsides, as dotted-eighths and sixteenths give way to even eighth-notes and text delivery once again approaches the arching lyricism of the early measures of the piece. Coupled with the imagery of the “frighted seas,” this gesture becomes remarkably expressive. It seems clear that for this imagery Billings envisioned a wide-sweeping declamatory effect which would develop over the course of the entire fuge. Just as a dynamic speaker varies the intensity of his or her delivery, Billings seems to have captured this ingredient, as he often did with other oratorical, dramatic, and rhetorical devices, and transferred it quite skilfully to the voices of his singers.

The last selection examined for this brief excursion into the works of William Billings is the piece entitled CREATION, Example 9. It offers a unique sampling from this volume, because the piece in itself seems to represent an “anthology in miniature.” Representative of several different stages of endeavor, CREATION, originally published as a plain tune in *Music in Miniature*, appears in *The Continental Harmony* with several adaptations. Here, it looks like two separate pieces joined together. The first 15 measures are based upon the original psalm tune. Measures 16 through 22 are an extension of that plain tune, set at a quicker tempo. Measure 23 through the end of the piece is an integrated fuging tune on a completely different text, drawn from another source. In sum, CREATION is a thorough pastiche of ideas.

The voices interact with ease and independence. Two distinct fuging sections grace this piece. The first fuge begins at measure 31 and sets the final two lines of the stanza of text, culminating in a pronounced cadence on the tonic at measure 44. A second fuge begins at measure 45. At measure 46, the composer juxtaposes different lines from the stanza, lines 1 and 2 (in the counter), with lines 3 and 4 (in the other voices), which have been the basis of the fuge up to that point. Here again, Billings demonstrates that, for him, musical ends outweigh poetic formula or integrity. In this case, the stanzaic form, or at least its linear progression, is disrupted as the text becomes an instrument in the melodic interplay which casts, in the counter, a melody reminiscent of the air from earlier in the piece against the spirited fuge subject that first appeared in measure 31. The juxtaposition of different lines of poetry emphasizes this process on a textual level.

Responsiveness to text is observed especially in the correspondence between poetic and musical meter. Billings’s sense of the temporality of sound and the inflection of language became quite developed. Likewise, musical illustration prevails in the composer’s works in his last collection. In CREATION, for example, it is present in the duration of notes used to set the word “long” in the phrase “Should keep in tune so long.” While each of the separate parts of CREATION displays an element of simplicity, characteristic of some of Billings’s intermediate works, the management of music and text is indicative of the flair and command with which Billings composed in his later years.

In sum, the originality, flexibility, and sensitivity which typify so much of the music of William Billings are certainly present in his fuging tune repertoire. Billings explores the formal aspects of music, never limiting him-
Example 9. CREATION (CH).

CREATION   C.M.

Isaac Watts    William Billings

When I with pleasing wonder stand, And all my

frame survey, Lord, tis thy work I own thy hand, Thus

built my humble clay, Lord, tis thy work, I own thy

hand, Thus built my humble clay. Our life con
Example 9, continued.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long,
Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long,
Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long,
Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.
Example 9, continued.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Our life contains a thousand springs and dies if one be gone,

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.

Strange that a harp of thousand strings, Should keep in tune so long.
these compositions since it is consistent with definitions prevalent in England and America at the time of the genre's emergence and development. A "fuge" was considered a contrapuntal passage which generally involved some degree of imitative writing. Billings, for example, in the glossary of The New-England Psalm-Singer, defines "Fuge or Fuging," as "notes flying after the same." Like other genres of American psalmody, the fuging tune was usually a four-part composition with the designations treble, counter, tenor, and bass corresponding to the conventional soprano, alto, tenor, and bass configuration. The principal melody, or air, as it was called, was assigned to the tenor, except in the polyphonic sections where each of the voices assumed a mildly imitative character.


4. While certainly a tenacious advocate of the form, Billings did not compose the most fuging tunes of any American tunesmith. Of the American psalmists publishing during the period 1770 through 1820 — the period which saw the emergence, development, and heyday of the fuging tune in America — Stephen Jenks, on the basis of published tunes with positive attributions, appears to have composed the most works in this genre, with a total of 46. Billings, Read, and French follow closely with 44, 43, and 42 respectively. Only tunes whose authorship is substantiated by primary sources have been used for the calculations in this study. It is noteworthy, however, that if tunes of likely authorship are considered, Samuel Holyoke far exceeds his contemporaries, with a total of 83 fuging tunes (32 with positive attribution and 51 of likely authorship). Many tunes from The Columbian Repository (1803) and The Vocal Companion (1807) are thought, on the basis of style, to be Holyoke's, yet carry no attribution. Jacob French's fuging tune output, for that matter, exceeds both Jenks's and Billings's, if tunes of likely authorship are considered. French has 42 of positive attribution and 10, which stylistically suggest that they are his, for a total of 52. Billings, if those of likely authorship are considered has 45, while Jenks's total remains consistent at 46. Daniel Read's total, likewise, is unchanged at 43. The statistics for this comparison were derived from Karl Kroeger's American Fuging-Tunes, 1770-1820: A Descriptive Catalog (Westport, Connecticut: Greenwood Press, 1994).

In terms of total output (all genres of psalmody considered), fuging tunes comprise roughly only 15% of Billings's entire catalog, while they involve nearly 37% of Jenks's output, 45% of Daniel Read's, and a noteworthy 89% of Nehemiah Shumway's. According to The Complete Works of William Billings (Boston: The American Musicological Society and The Colonial Society of Massachusetts, 1977-1990), Karl Kroeger and Hans Nathan, editors; Billings's entire output numbers roughly 300, 44 of which are fuging tunes. The recent edition featuring the works of Stephen Jenks, Stephen Jenks Collected Works, vol. 18, Recent Researches in American Music (Madison: A-R Editions, Inc., 1995); edited by David Warren Steel, indicates Jenks published 125 original tunes in tunebooks from 1799 through 1818. Forty-six of these compositions are fuging tunes. Daniel Read's total published output is listed as 94 in Daniel Read Collected Works, vol. 24, Recent Researches in American Music, and vol. 4, of Music of the United States of America. (Madison: A-R Editions, Inc., 1995), edited by Karl Kroeger. Forty-three of these compositions are fuging tunes. Karl Kroeger's Hymn Tune Data Base was consulted for data on Nehemiah Shumway. A relatively complete, though not exhaustive, survey of tunes published in America through 1820, this source indicates Shumway published 18 original tunes, 16 of which are fuging tunes.


8. The text set in MILTON is the first verse of Psalm 145 from Sternhold and Hopkins's *The Booke of Psalms with Hymnes Evangelical, and Spiritual Songs*, commonly known as the "Old Version." Psalm 42:1 from Nicholas Brady and Nahum Tate's *A New Version of the Psalms of David* is used for TAUNTON.

9. Though we have but these three fuging tunes from this early publication, it appears Billings did have others on hand at that time. He explained in the advertisement on page two of *The New-England Psalm-Singer*, "If this work should meet with encouragement, it may be the Inducement to the Author to publish another Volume, which he has in Possession, consisting chiefly of Anthems, Fuges and Chorus's, of his own composition."

10. In addition to the tunebook's circulation intact, McKay and Crawford indicate that nearly 62 percent of the compositions in *The Singing Master's Assistant* were borrowed by later compilers. *William Billings of Boston*, 78.

11. As seen in the tune DUNSTABLE.

12. Not to be confused with the British composer by the same name who flourished in the early 1760s, this Benjamin West (1730-1813) was a resident of Providence, Rhode Island.

13. Total fuging measures in the 13 fuges by Billings's contemporaries during the 1770s is 82.


15. The counter's line was usually the most restricted in range and musical character of all the four voices. Often times simply contributing to a fuller sonority, the counter was the part considered most dispensable when the number of available singers was limited.

16. As seen in the tune ASSURANCE.

17. As seen in the tune ADORATION.

18. As seen in the tune ASSURANCE.

19. As seen in the tune MANCHESTER.

20. While some of the tunes (NORTHBOROUGH, previously called LANESBOROUGH in Stickney's *The Gentleman and Lady's Musical Companion*, for example) involved only minor alterations from their initial publication, others (BRATTLE STREET and KITTERY) represented the composer's re-working of his previous material in some detail.


22. The term "moods of time" was used by Anglo-American psalmists to describe the dual meaning held by time signatures in their music. As in the Renaissance proportional system of notation, both the quantitative contents of the measure and an implied tempo, or tactus (which the tune smiths sometimes explained in terms of a swinging pendulum), were suggested by these designations.


24. While no chronology can be suggested from the arrangement of Billings tunes within a collection, tendencies that seem indicative of later development which surface in this anthology are addressed here.

25. The text for measures 1 through 22 is from Isaac Watts's *Psalms of David Imitated in the Language of the New Testament*, Psalm 139, Part 2, C.M. version. The text for the remainder of the piece is from Watts's *Hymns and Spiritual Songs*, Book 2, No. 19:3.